

Guide To The Business Court Docket—Phase 2

Pursuant to the *Order Establishing the Davidson County Business Court Docket Pilot Project – Phase 2*, entered April 4, 2017, by the Supreme Court of Tennessee, this *Guide* shall be used in conducting proceedings in Phase 2 of the Pilot Project. The Business Court Docket is governed by the Tennessee Rules of Civil Procedure, the Tennessee Rules of Evidence and the Twentieth Judicial District Local Rules (Davidson County). Their application and adaptation to the Business Court Docket is covered below.

Section 1—Overview

- 1.1 **Purpose.** The Business Court Docket is a specialized docket established to (1) provide cost effective disposition of business cases and procedures adapted to the needs of each case; and (2) to develop a body of rulings from which lawyers and litigants can better predict and assess outcomes in business cases.
- 1.2 **Design.** The procedures, technology and dockets of the Business Court Docket are designed to maximize cost effective litigation which advances disposition of a case and to eliminate nonproductive litigation processes which consume resources.
- 1.3 **Methods.** The following shall be used in the Business Court Docket:
 - (a) **Early Case Litigation Plan**—After the transfer of a case to the Business Court Docket, the Business Court Docket Judge (“Judge”) shall promptly schedule a conference to design a Case Litigation Plan.
 - (b) **Differentiated Plans**—Case Litigation Plans shall be individualized, non-formulaic and structured differently depending upon the nature of the case, amount in controversy, and the relief requested. Included in the Case Litigation Plan shall be discovery schedules and methods proportionate to the case, and timing, grouping and extent of motions.
 - (c) **Forms, Filings, Hearings, Work of Business Court Docket Judge**—In requiring Counsel and/or self-represented litigants (hereinafter collectively referred to as “Counsel”) to complete forms, prepare filings, attend hearings and provide oral argument, the Business Court Docket shall use attorney time prudently and efficiently. Advance analysis and preparation by the Judge; staggered dockets/appointed times for court hearings; electronic filing by the Clerk and Master; streamlined motion practice; planning with out-of-county attorneys to minimize travel by videoconferencing and other methods;

expertise of Judge and staff in business law and business case procedures and methods; prompt written decisions and orders by the Judge on rulings, scheduling and all steps of the case; rulings on the papers when appropriate; and posting of decisions on the Business Court Docket website (TNcourts.gov/bizcourt) for predictability shall be implemented.

- (d) Court Monitoring—Regular review of case filings by Judge and staff attorney to assure the case is proceeding.

Section 2—Eligibility Criteria/Excluded Cases

2.1 Eligibility Criteria. A civil case is eligible for transfer to the Business Court Docket if:

- (a) the complaint was filed on or after May 1, 2017; and
- (b) the complaint alleges at least \$250,000 compensatory damages, or asserts claims seeking primarily injunctive or declaratory relief; and
- (c) the case meets one or more of the following criteria:
 - (1) relates to the governance or internal affairs of businesses (i.e., corporations, limited liability companies, general partnerships, limited liability partnerships, sole proprietorships, professional associations, real estate investment trusts, and joint ventures), including the rights or obligations of shareholders, officers, directors, partners, and members, or the liability or indemnity of officers, directors, managers, trustees or partners;
 - (2) involves claims of breach of fiduciary duty or statutory violations between businesses arising out of business transactions or relationships;
 - (3) involves a commercial class action;
 - (4) arises from technology licensing agreements, including software and biotechnology licensing agreements, or any agreement involving the licensing of any intellectual property right, including patent rights;
 - (5) involves antitrust, trade secrets, trademark law, or securities-related actions;

- (6) involves claims that present sufficiently complex commercial issues that would have significant implications for the larger business community, including but not limited to cases with subject matter that technically would render the case “Excluded” pursuant to Section 2 of the Business Court Docket Eligibility Criteria, as recommended by the Business Court Judge and as determined within the discretion of the Chief Justice.

2.2 Excluded Cases. The following cases are excluded from the Business Court Docket:

- (a) personal injury or wrongful death;
- (b) professional malpractice claims;
- (c) residential landlord-tenant matters and foreclosure actions;
- (d) employee/employer disputes, except where pendent or incidental to the matters listed in Section 2.1(c) above and sufficiently complex business issues are presented;
- (e) health care liability;
- (f) the sole claim is a professional fee dispute;
- (g) where the State of Tennessee or any other government or governmental agency is a party;
- (h) administrative appeals from a State or County Agency, including tax and zoning matters;
- (i) claims involving breach of contract, fraud, or misrepresentation, except when pendent or incidental to matters listed in Section 2.1(c) above and sufficiently complex business issues are presented;
- (j) actions for violation of or interference with noncompete, nonsolicitation, and/or confidentiality agreements, except when pendent or incidental to matters listed in Section 2.1(c) above and sufficiently complex business issues are presented;
- (k) residential contract construction disputes and/or residential construction defect claims; and
- (l) cases involving violations of the Tennessee Consumer Protection Act.

Section 3—Transfer To/Removal From The Business Court Docket

3.1 Case Assignment

- (a) Request for Designation to the Business Court Docket
 - i. Within 30 days of the date of service of a complaint on a defendant, any party may file with the Davidson County Chancery Court Clerk and Master a *Request for Designation* of the case to the Business Court Docket, with a copy served on all parties. Upon the recommendation of the Business Court Docket Judge as to eligibility, the Chief Justice shall determine whether a case meets the eligibility criteria set forth above and whether, in the discretion of the Chief Justice, the case is sufficiently complex to warrant transfer to the Business Court Docket. Upon making that determination, the Chief Justice may transfer the case to the Business Court Docket.
 - ii. The filing of a *Request for Designation* certifies that the case meets the criteria for assignment to the Business Court Docket provided above in Sections 2.1 and 2.2 and shall be in a form approved by the Supreme Court.
 - iii. The Chief Justice may designate one or more sitting Davidson County Chancellors, Davidson County Circuit Court Judges, or Senior Judges to hear and decide cases assigned to the Business Court Docket and/or serve as settlement conference judges, as needed.
- (b) Cases Outside of Davidson County – In addition to Section 3.1(a), cases filed in any other county in Tennessee that otherwise meet the eligibility criteria provided in Sections 2.1 and 2.2 above may be transferred pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 16-11-201 at the discretion of the Chief Justice to the Business Court Docket in Davidson County if all parties file with the Davidson County Chancery Court Clerk & Master a *Request for Designation* of the case to the Business Court Docket that includes a joint consent and waiver of venue in a form approved by the Supreme Court.
- (c) Objections to Transfer – All objections to assignment of the case to the Business Court Docket, except eligibility, must be filed with the Supreme Court, Appellate Court Clerk, Middle Section, Nashville, TN within 30 days of the entry of the order transferring the case to the Business Court Docket, with a copy served on all parties and the Business Court Docket judge.

- (d) Recusal – Should recusal by a Business Court Docket judge be necessary, the case will be re-assigned first to the Alternative Business Court Docket Judge Patricia Head Moskal or, if necessary, to another Business Court Docket judge by the Chief Justice.

Section 4—Case Litigation Plan

- 4.1 Order Setting Conference and Content. After transfer of a case to the Business Court Docket, the Judge shall promptly issue an order setting a conference to enter a Case Litigation Plan pursuant to Tennessee Rule of Civil Procedure 16. To minimize costs, Counsel will not routinely be required in advance of the conference to fill out forms or make filings. Instead, the Judge shall send Counsel a notice of the matters to be addressed and discussed at the conference. In some cases an exchange of limited discovery may be ordered prior to the conference.
- 4.2 Attendance At Conference. All lead trial counsel and local counsel shall attend the conference in person unless attendance by other means is authorized for any person by the Judge. Party representatives are encouraged to attend but are not required.
- 4.3 Known Problem Areas.
 - (a) Motions to Dismiss—Deficiencies in pleading with specificity and/or linking essential elements of claims to facts (as opposed to questions of law) are rarely susceptible to dismissal. *Webb v. Nashville Area Habitat for Humanity, Inc.*, 346 S.W.3d 422, 426-27, 437 (Tenn. 2011). Additionally, leave to amend is to be freely granted under Tennessee Rule of Civil Procedure 15. Nevertheless Counsel, in defending against complaints or affirmative defenses, have few mechanisms to obtain clarity in pleadings other than the tool of a motion to dismiss. Often the result is that resources are expended and delays occur from the serial exchange of motions to dismiss and amendments to the pleadings. To avoid this expenditure, the Court, when appropriate, shall address in the Case Litigation Plan Conference pleadings to identify and clarify causes of action and affirmative defenses, and include the outcome in the Case Litigation Plan Order. The Court shall also bundle preliminary motions for briefing and ruling when possible.
 - (b) Amendments and Additions of Parties—Because preparation of a lawsuit for trial is not scripted and evolves as information is uncovered, amendments and addition of parties may be necessary. These actions, however, can cause lengthy extensions and delays, especially if they come late in the proceedings

when discovery is complete or nearing completion. Accordingly, realistic deadlines regarding amendments and adding parties shall be covered at the conference.

- (c) Discovery—The conference shall include an assessment of the amount, sequencing and kind of discovery that is proportionate to the case. Protocols of ESI and production in reasonably useable form shall be covered.
- (d) Motions—Grouping of motions, instead of serial, individual motion hearings, and the timing of motions shall be addressed at the conference for efficient use of time in court.

4.4 Topic Examples. The following are examples of topics about which the Judge may notify Counsel to be prepared to discuss at the conference:

- (a) whether the case is the kind to divide into prioritized phases such as a preliminary accounting, dissolution, etc.; a secondary or in tandem phase for discovery and trial on liability; a subsequent remedy phase;
- (b) assignment of a trial date within 12 months of initial filing or identification of cases needing longer pretrial preparation;
- (c) pleadings issues;
- (d) determining whether additional parties are essential to the complete resolution of the case and setting a time limit for filing third party complaints or otherwise bringing in additional parties;
- (e) determining whether severance, consolidation or coordination with other actions is desirable;
- (f) the identity and number of any motions to dismiss or other preliminary or pre-discovery motions that have been filed or are anticipated, and the time period in which they shall be filed, briefed and argued;
- (g) setting a discovery plan and schedule, including the length of the discovery period, the number of fact and expert depositions to be permitted, as appropriate, the length and sequence of such depositions, and determining if protective orders or other limitations are appropriate;
- (h) estimating the volume of documents and ESI likely to be the subject of discovery from parties and nonparties and whether there are technological

means that may render document discovery more manageable at an acceptable cost;

- (i) anticipated areas of expert testimony, timing for identification of expert witnesses, responses to expert discovery; exchange of expert reports, and timing of motions to exclude expert testimony under *McDaniel v. CSX Transportation, Inc.*, 955 S.W.2d 257 (Tenn. 1997);
- (j) the time period after the close of discovery within which post-discovery dispositive motions shall be filed, briefed and argued, and a tentative schedule;
- (k) the possibility of settlement and the timing of Alternative Dispute Resolution;
- (l) the use of technology: efilings, electronic service on counsel, videoconferencing and/or teleconferencing;
- (m) organizing a master list of contact information for Counsel; and
- (n) the scheduling of further conferences.

4.5 Case Litigation Plan Order. At the completion of the conference, the Judge shall enter an order containing the Case Litigation Plan. The order may thereafter be modified or revised as the Judge in her discretion deems necessary or appropriate to meet the purpose and goals of the Business Court Docket. The parties shall not deviate from deadlines and requirements established in the order or any modifications unless authorized by the Judge. Failure to comply with the order may result in sanctions.

Any scheduling orders entered prior to transfer of the case to the Business Court Docket shall be superseded by the Case Litigation Plan Order.

Section 5—Motions

5.1 Local Rules of Davidson County Apply. Unless otherwise ordered, motions shall be filed, processed, considered and decided according to the Twentieth Judicial District Local Rules (Davidson County) including, but not limited to, Rule 26.

For ease of reference Local Rule 26 is quoted as follows:

§ 26.01. Time to Schedule and Hear Dispositive Motions

Dispositive motions must be scheduled to be heard at least thirty (30) days before a trial date unless the court otherwise orders.

§ 26.02. Time for Hearings

- a. Motions will be heard at 9:00 a.m. on Fridays.
- b. Appropriate notice shall be published when a court will not have a motion docket on a Friday.
- c. Judges will endeavor to arrange their motion dockets to minimize delay for lawyers. [Remainder does not apply to the Business Court Docket.]

§ 26.03. Fourteen Day Minimum Notice of Hearing on Motions; Summary Judgment Motions Filed Thirty-Seven Days Before Hearing

- a. The notice of hearing as contained in Local Rule 26.05(b) shall be filed at least fourteen (14) days before the scheduled hearing date.
- b. A motion for summary judgment cannot be heard until at least thirty-seven (37) days after it is filed unless the parties otherwise agree.
- c. In Circuit Court, the moving party needs file no further notice if the motion hearing date is continued by agreement of all parties or by court order [inapplicable to the Business Court Docket].
- d. In Chancery Court, if a motion is reset by agreement, a written notice of the new motion hearing date must be provided the Clerk by faxed letter or otherwise. This notice must be provided by the close of business on the Monday before the Friday on which the motion is to be heard.

§ 26.04. Motions, Responses, Replies and Briefs

- a. Motions shall clearly state with particularity the grounds therefore, and shall set forth the relief or order sought as required by Tenn. R. Civ. P. 7.02.
- b. Every motion or response which may require the resolution of an issue of law, and every motion or response in which legal authority is relied upon, shall be accompanied by a memorandum of law and facts in support thereof. Any motion, response, brief or memorandum

of law that makes reference to a transcript or deposition shall make reference to the specific page(s) of the transcript involved. [Remainder does not apply to the Business Court Docket.]

c. When requesting leave to amend a pleading, the moving party must attach a copy of the proposed amended pleading to the motion so that it becomes part of the record. [Comment: Unless the record before the appellate court shows the substance of the proposed amendment, it cannot determine whether the court acted properly on the motion. *Taylor v. Nashville Banner Publ'g Co.*, 573 S.W.2d 476 (Tenn. Ct. App. 1978).]

d. If the motion is opposed, a written response to the motion must be filed and personally served on all parties. The response shall state with particularity the grounds for opposition to the motion, supported by legal authority, if applicable. If no response is filed, the motion shall be granted. [Remainder does not apply to the Business Court Docket.]

e. Responses to motions, including counter-affidavits, depositions, briefs, or any other matters presented in opposition to motions, must be filed with the clerk's office by 11:59 p.m. CST on Monday before the Friday on which the motion is to be heard. The response must also be filed and served on all parties no later than 11:59 p.m. CST on the Monday before the Friday on which the motion is to be heard. If Monday falls on a holiday and the offices of the court clerks are closed, responses to motions must be filed with the clerk's office by 11:59 CST on the Tuesday before the Friday on which the motion is to be heard. In case of a Monday holiday, service of the response on all parties must occur no later than 11:59 p.m. CST Tuesday.

f. Replies to responses, if any, must be filed with the clerk's office by the close of business on the Wednesday before the Friday on which the motion is to be heard. The reply must also be personally served on all other parties no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Wednesday before the Friday on which the motion is to be heard.

g. IF NO RESPONSE IS TIMELY FILED AND PERSONALLY SERVED, THE MOTION SHALL BE GRANTED AND COUNSEL OR PRO SE LITIGANT NEED NOT APPEAR IN COURT AT THE TIME AND DATE SCHEDULED FOR THE HEARING.

Counsel or pro se litigant shall then submit the proposed order consistent with Local Rule 33. The order shall recite that no response was timely filed or personally served. See Rule 39 for exceptions to this Rule in certain Probate matters.

§ 26.05. Docketing Motions for Hearing and Disposition

- a. Docketing Motions for Hearing and Disposition--Docketing of a motion will be complete upon filing the motion with the Chancery, Probate and Circuit Court Clerks, provided it contains notice of a hearing date. If no hearing date is requested upon the filing of the motion, either counsel may file a notice of hearing for a previously filed motion and serve opposing counsel and/or party.
- b. Notice of Hearing and Disposition--Any party filing a motion in Chancery, Probate or in Circuit Court shall serve written notice of the date and the time of the hearing upon all other parties. The notice shall advise all other parties that failure to file and serve a timely written response to the motion will result in the motion being granted without further hearing.
- c. Domestic Relations Motions--Domestic relations motions are exempted from this rule and are governed by § 37.05 [inapplicable to the Business Court Docket].

§ 26.06. Personal Service Defined

For purposes of this Rule, personal service means delivery, mailing or transmission of a facsimile (i.e., “fax” or “telecopier”) such that the document served is physically received by the specified date and time. In the event personal service is affected by facsimile, an original copy of the document shall follow by delivery or mail.

§ 26.07. Special Setting of Motions

Where special circumstances warrant, motions may be specially set with the Calendar Clerk of each court at times other than on the regular motion docket. A motion to set an expedited hearing shall be accompanied by an attached proposed order.

§ 26.08. Failure to Appear at a Motion Hearing; Late Appearance

If any party does not appear at a scheduled hearing on a motion or any other matter scheduled to be heard on the motion docket, the court may strike or adjudicate the motion. Counsel who will be late for a motion hearing shall notify the Calendar Clerk of the assigned court in advance of the hearing or have an announcement to that effect made at the call of the motion docket. If the movant fails to appear, and the court strikes the motion, the court may tax, as costs, reasonable fees

and expenses in favor of the opposing party who did appear at the scheduled hearing.

§ 26.09. Striking or Postponement of Motions

After a motion has been docketed, the movant may strike or postpone a motion upon timely notice to all parties. If a motion is to be stricken or postponed by agreement, counsel shall timely notify the Calendar Clerk of the assigned court. If any party strikes or postpones a motion without giving notice the court may tax, as costs, reasonable fees and expenses in favor of any party who appeared at the scheduled hearing.

§ 26.10. Agreed Orders

If an agreed order is to be submitted disposing of a motion, counsel shall advise the Calendar Clerk of the assigned court prior to the hearing or may so announce at the hearing.

§ 26.11. The Hearing

a. Oral Argument. Motions with responses shall be orally argued unless waived by agreement, excepted by order of the court [Remainder does not apply to the Business Court Docket].

b. No Witnesses. The motion hearing shall be upon the pleadings, affidavits or depositions unless a party requests and obtains permission of the court for the introduction of oral testimony before the time of the hearing.

§ 26.12. Motions In Limine

Motions in limine are governed by Local Rule 30.

§ 26.13. Motions to Compel Discovery

Special requirements related to motions involving discovery disputes are addressed by Local Rule 22.08--22.12.

§ 26.14. Class Action Determination

Within sixty (60) days after the filing of a complaint in a class action, unless this period is extended on motion for good cause appearing, the plaintiff shall move for a determination under Rule 23.03(1) Tenn. R. Civ. P. whether the case is to be maintained as a class action. In ruling

upon such a motion, the Court may allow the action to be so maintained, may disallow and strike the class action allegations, or may order postponement of the determination pending discovery or such other preliminary procedures as appear to be appropriate and necessary under the circumstances. Whenever possible, where it is held that the determination shall be postponed, a date shall be fixed by the Court for the renewal of the motion.

§ 26.15. Default Judgment Motion With Certificate

All motions for default judgment seeking a judgment for liquidated damages shall specifically state the amount sought and be accompanied by a certificate which shall substantially comply with the default judgment certificate in the appendix. A request for non-liquidated damages will require a damages hearing.

- 5.2 Decision on the Papers. Where appropriate, the Judge will notify Counsel that oral argument is unnecessary, and the motion shall be considered and decided on the pleadings, admissible evidence, the court file, and memoranda.

Section 6—Use of Technology

- 6.1 Leave of Court. By leave of the Pilot Project Court, Counsel may arrange for any proceeding or conference to be held via videoconference or telephone conference call by coordinating either such hearing with the Business Court Docket Clerk. Counsel and other participants shall be subject to the same rules of procedure and decorum as if all participants were present in the courtroom.
- 6.2 Electronic filing. For speed, the Judge efiles orders and court generated documents, followed, as required by law, with a mailed copy. Every represented party in the case shall maintain at least one attorney as a “service contact” in the case specific service contact list for e-service of documents filed by the Court per Rule 4.02 of the Davidson County Chancery Court Electronic Filing Rules. The Court requires counsel e-file documents not under seal. When e-filing, the “eFile and Serve” filing type should be used to effectuate e-service as provided in Rule 4.01 of the Davidson County Chancery Court Electronic Filing Rules. Information on e-filing can be obtained from the Davidson County Clerk and Master’s webpage at chanceryclerkandmaster.nashville.gov.
- 6.3 Telephone Conferences. Brief telephone conferences shall be scheduled throughout the litigation instead of court appearances for status conferences and planning.

Section 7—Pretrial Conferences

- 7.1 Pretrial Conference Purpose. In all cases, Counsel are required to attend a pretrial conference to assure that the case is organized and ready to try.
- 7.2 Advance Filings in Jury Cases. In addition to motions in limine, and designation of deposition excerpts and objections thereto, Counsel are required to file, prior to the pretrial conference, or otherwise on a schedule set by the Judge, the following in the case of jury trials:
- (a) A list of pattern instructions Counsel anticipates requesting the Court to provide to the jury at the conclusion of the proof;
 - (b) Proposed jury instructions which vary from the pattern instructions, along with citations to statutory or case authority; and
 - (c) A proposed verdict form.

Although these will not be finalized until the charge conference at the close of proof, extensive comparison of the variations in submission by each side identifies issues of law for the Court to clear up prior to the trial. This enables Counsel to plan and lessens evidentiary disputes on relevancy during the trial.

- 7.3 Advance Filings in Non-Jury Cases. In non-jury cases, counsel will be required to file a pre-trial brief, outlining the legal issues and proof issues, consistent with the Local Rule requirements set out in § 29.03.
- 7.4 Pretrial Conference Topics. The pretrial conference shall cover evidentiary issues, logistics of presenting evidence (e.g., use of evidence presenter, juror evidence notebooks, etc.), number of challenges, jury instructions, motions in limine, and any issues presented by Counsel.

Section 8—Posting of Decisions

The March 16, 2015 and April 4, 2017 Supreme Court Orders, respectively establishing and expanding the Pilot Project, require that substantive decisions selected by the Business Court Docket Judge shall be posted on the Business Court Docket website maintained by the Administrative Office of the Courts. This posting is to assist lawyers and litigants in assessing and predicting outcomes. This is being done regularly and is updated.

Decisions of trial courts have no precedential value. This applies to the Pilot Project Court. Its decisions are under the same appeal process as other trial courts and are

susceptible to reversal or remand. The purpose, however, of posting some of the Pilot Project Court decisions, then, is not to “make law” but to identify areas of developing Tennessee business law and to share analysis and information, thereby fostering predictability and certainty in Tennessee commercial law.

Revised 1-5-2023

APPENDIX A

IN THE CHANCERY COURT FOR THE STATE OF TENNESSEE
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT, DAVIDSON COUNTY

PART II – BUSINESS COURT DOCKET

)	
)	
Plaintiff(s),)	
)	
VS.)	No.
)	
)	
Defendant(s).)	

REQUEST FOR DESIGNATION TO THE BUSINESS COURT DOCKET

[PARTY], through Counsel or self-represented, requests that the above styled Case filed on [INSERT DATE] in the Chancery Court of Davidson County be transferred by the Chief Justice of the Tennessee Supreme Court to the Business Court Docket.

Counsel or self-represented party, in good faith and based on information reasonably available, has completed and filed herewith the attached checklist certifying that the Case meets the eligibility criteria set forth in the Tennessee Supreme Court *Order Establishing the Davidson County Business Court Docket Pilot Project - Phase 2*.

Counsel or Self-represented Party

FOR BUSINESS COURT DOCKET JUDGE USE ONLY

I Recommend Decline to Recommend that this Case is eligible for transfer to the Business Court Docket.

Signature of Business Court Docket Judge

Date

REQUEST FOR DESIGNATION TO THE BUSINESS COURT DOCKET

CHECKLIST

In certifying that this case meets the eligibility criteria for transfer to the Business Court Docket, please check below the applicable boxes. To be eligible, the case must fit within items 1 and 2, and one or more subsections of item 3.

- 1. This lawsuit was filed on or after May 1, 2017; and
- 2. Compensatory damages of at least \$250,000 are alleged, or this lawsuit seeks primarily injunctive or declaratory relief; and
- 3. This lawsuit:
 - Relates to the governance or internal affairs of businesses (i.e., corporations, limited liability companies, general partnerships, limited liability partnerships, sole proprietorships, professional associations, real estate investment trusts, and joint ventures), including the rights or obligations of shareholders, officers, directors, partners, and members, or the liability or indemnity of officers, directors, managers, trustees or partners.
 - involves claims of breach of fiduciary duty or statutory violations between businesses arising out of business transactions or relationships.
 - involves a commercial class action.
 - arises from technology licensing agreements, including software and biotechnology licensing agreements, or any agreement involving the licensing of any intellectual property right, including patent rights.
 - involves antitrust, trade secrets, trademark law, or securities-related actions.
 - involves claims that present sufficiently complex commercial issues that would have significant implications for the larger business community, including but not limited to cases with subject matter that technically would render the case “Excluded” pursuant to Section 2 of the Business Court Docket Eligibility Criteria, as recommended by the Business Court Judge and as determined within the discretion of the Chief Justice.

APPENDIX B

IN THE CHANCERY COURT FOR THE STATE OF TENNESSEE
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT, DAVIDSON COUNTY

PART II – BUSINESS COURT DOCKET

IN RE: REQUEST FOR DESIGNATION)
TO THE BUSINESS COURT DOCKET IN)
[INSERT STYLE OF CASE INCLUDING)
NAMES OF PARTIES, CASE NUMBER,)
AND COUNTY WHERE FILED] _____)

REQUEST FOR DESIGNATION TO THE BUSINESS COURT DOCKET

Counsel and self-represented parties (hereinafter referred to collectively as “Counsel”) request that the above referenced Case filed on [INSERT DATE] in [INSERT COUNTY WHERE LAWSUIT ORIGINATED] be transferred by the Chief Justice of the Tennessee Supreme Court to the Business Court Docket located in the Twentieth Judicial District, Davidson County, Tennessee.

Counsel agree and consent to waive venue in the above referenced case.

Counsel, in good faith and based on information reasonably available, have completed and filed herewith the attached checklist certifying that the Case meets the eligibility criteria set forth in the Tennessee Supreme Court *Order Establishing the Davidson County Business Court Docket Pilot Project - Phase 2*.

Please check that a copy of the Complaint in the above referenced case is attached.

Signature of Counsel for Plaintiff(s)

E-Mail of Counsel for Plaintiff(s)

Signature of Counsel for Defendant(s)

E-Mail of Counsel for Defendant(s)

Signature of Counsel for Other Parties

E-Mail of Counsel for Other Parties

FOR BUSINESS COURT DOCKET JUDGE USE ONLY

I Recommend Decline to Recommend that this Case is eligible for transfer to the Business Court Docket.

Signature of Business Court Docket Judge

Date

REQUEST FOR DESIGNATION TO THE BUSINESS COURT DOCKET
CHECKLIST

In certifying that this case meets the eligibility criteria for transfer to the Business Court Docket, please check below the applicable boxes. To be eligible, the case must fit within items 1 and 2, and one or more subsections of item 3.

- 1. This lawsuit was filed on or after May 1, 2017; and
- 2. Compensatory damages of at least \$250,000 are alleged, or this lawsuit seeks primarily injunctive or declaratory relief; and
- 3. This lawsuit:
 - Relates to the governance or internal affairs of businesses (i.e., corporations, limited liability companies, general partnerships, limited liability partnerships, sole proprietorships, professional associations, real estate investment trusts, and joint ventures), including the rights or obligations of shareholders, officers, directors, partners, and members, or the liability or indemnity of officers, directors, managers, trustees, or partners.
 - involves claims of breach of fiduciary duty or statutory violations between businesses arising out of business transactions or relationships.
 - involves a commercial class action.
 - arises from technology licensing agreements, including software and biotechnology licensing agreements, or any agreement involving the licensing of any intellectual property right, including patent rights.
 - involves antitrust, trade secrets, trademark law, or securities-related actions.
 - involves claims that present sufficiently complex commercial issues that would have significant implications for the larger business community, including but not limited to cases with subject matter that technically would render the case “Excluded” pursuant to Section 2 of the Business Court Docket Eligibility Criteria, as recommended by the Business Court Docket Judge and as determined within the discretion of the Chief Justice.